## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE at CHATTANOOGA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Case No. 1:14-cr-57
	)	Judge Mattice
JACKIE ADLER,	)	Magistrate Judge Lee
	)	
Defendant.	)	

## **ORDER**

On September 19, 2014, United States Magistrate Judge Susan K. Lee filed a Report and Recommendation (Doc. 21) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Magistrate Judge Lee recommended that Defendant's Motion to Suppress (Doc. 14) be denied. (Doc. 21 at 7).

Defendant has filed no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, the Court has reviewed the record in this matter, and it agrees with the Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned conclusions.

Accordingly, the Court **ACCEPTS** and **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Lee's findings of fact and conclusions of law, and Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence (Doc. 14) is hereby **DENIED**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Magistrate Judge Lee specifically advised Defendant that he had 14 days in which to object to the Report and Recommendation and that failure to do so would waive his right to appeal. (Doc. 21 at 7); *see* Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b)(2); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 148-51 (1985) (noting that "[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings").

## **SO ORDERED** this 16th day of October, 2014.

/s/ Harry S. Mattice, Jr.
HARRY S. MATTICE, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE